

The Birkhoff–James and Roberts orthogonality in C^* -algebras

Rajna Rajić

(joint work with Ljiljana Arambašić and Tomislav Berić)

Faculty of Mining, Geology and Petroleum Engineering
University of Zagreb

8th Linear Algebra Workshop
June 12–16, 2017, Ljubljana

In a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, we say that

◇ $x \in X$ is **orthogonal** to $y \in X$ in the **Birkhoff–James sense**, $x \perp_{BJ} y$, if

$$\|x\| \leq \|x + \lambda y\|, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

◇ $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ are **Roberts orthogonal**, $x \perp_{RY} y$, if

$$\|x + \lambda y\| = \|x - \lambda y\|, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

THE BIRKHOFF–JAMES ORTHOGONALITY IN NORMED LINEAR SPACES

- ◇ $x \perp_{BJ} y \Leftrightarrow \|x\| = \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|x + \lambda y\| \Leftrightarrow \|x\| = d(x, \mathbb{C}y)$.
- ◇ The Birkhoff–James orthogonality in normed linear spaces
 - ◇ is *nondegenerate*: $(x \perp_{BJ} x \Leftrightarrow x = 0)$;
 - ◇ is *homogeneous*: $(x \perp_{BJ} y \Rightarrow \lambda x \perp_{BJ} \mu y, \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C})$;
 - ◇ is *not symmetric*: $(x \perp_{BJ} y \not\Rightarrow y \perp_{BJ} x)$;
 - ◇ is *not additive*: $((x \perp_{BJ} y \text{ and } x \perp_{BJ} z) \not\Rightarrow x \perp_{BJ} (y + z))$.
- ◇ For every two elements $x, y \in X$ there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $x \perp_{BJ} (\lambda x + y)$.

ROBERTS ORTHOGONALITY IN NORMED LINEAR SPACES

- ◇ $x \perp_{RY}$ if $\|x + \lambda y\| = \|x - \lambda y\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
- ◇ Roberts orthogonality in normed linear spaces
 - ◇ is *nondegenerate*: $(x \perp_{RX} \Leftrightarrow x = 0)$;
 - ◇ is *homogeneous*: $(x \perp_{RY} \Rightarrow \lambda x \perp_{R\mu y}, \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C})$;
 - ◇ is *symmetric*: $(x \perp_{RY} \Leftrightarrow y \perp_{RX})$;
 - ◇ is *not additive*: $((x \perp_{RY} \text{ and } x \perp_{RZ}) \not\Rightarrow x \perp_{R(y+z)})$.
- ◇ R-orthogonality does not have the existence property.
- ◇ $x \perp_{RY} \Rightarrow x \perp_{BJY}$.
- ◇ If $(X, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is an inner product space, then $x \perp_{RY} \Leftrightarrow x \perp_{BJY} \Leftrightarrow (x, y) = 0$.

A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is a Banach $*$ -algebra with the norm satisfying the C^* -condition $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Gelfand–Naimark theorem

Every C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} can be regarded as a C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H , that is, there exist a Hilbert space H and a faithful (injective) $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$.

$(H, (\cdot, \cdot))$ - Hilbert space

$B(H)$ - algebra of all bounded linear operators on H

THEOREM (R. BHATIA, P. ŠEMRL, 1999)

Let $A, B \in B(H)$.

- ◇ $A \perp_B B$ if and only if there is a sequence (x_n) in H , $\|x_n\| = 1$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ax_n\| = \|A\|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax_n, Bx_n) = 0$.
- ◇ If $\dim H < \infty$, then $A \perp_B B$ if and only if there is a unit vector x in H such that $\|Ax\| = \|A\|$ and $(Ax, Bx) = 0$.

THE BIRKHOFF–JAMES ORTHOGONALITY IN C^* -ALGEBRAS

$S(\mathcal{A})$ – set of all states of \mathcal{A} (i.e., the set of all positive norm one linear functionals of \mathcal{A})

THEOREM (LJ. ARAMBAŠIĆ, R. R., 2012)

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^ -algebra, and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $a \perp_{BJ} b$ if and only if there is $\varphi \in S(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\varphi(a^*a) = \|a\|^2$ and $\varphi(a^*b) = 0$.*

THE BIRKHOFF–JAMES ORTHOGONALITY IN C^* -ALGEBRAS

\mathcal{A} – C^* -algebra with unit e

$V(a)$ – numerical range of $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$V(a) = \{\varphi(a) : \varphi \text{ is a state of } \mathcal{A}\}$

$V_{\max}(a)$ – maximal numerical range of $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$V_{\max}(a) = \{\varphi(a) : \varphi \text{ is a state of } \mathcal{A}, \varphi(a^*a) = \|a\|^2\}$

(J.G. STAMPFLI, J.P. WILLIAMS, 1968, 1970)

$e \perp_{BJ} a \Leftrightarrow 0 \in V(a);$

$a \perp_{BJ} e \Leftrightarrow 0 \in V_{\max}(a).$

\mathcal{A} – C^* -algebra with unit e

Let a be a **self-adjoint** element of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that $a \perp_R e$.

$$V(a) = \text{conv}(\sigma(a)) = [\alpha, \beta] \subseteq [-\|a\|, \|a\|],$$

where $\alpha = -\|a\|$ or $\beta = \|a\|$. Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\max\{|\alpha + \lambda|, |\beta + \lambda|\} = \|a + \lambda e\| = \|a - \lambda e\| = \max\{|\alpha - \lambda|, |\beta - \lambda|\},$$

from which it follows that $\alpha = -\beta$. Thus, $V(a) = [-\|a\|, \|a\|]$, and therefore $V(a) = -V(a)$. The converse is obvious.

If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is self-adjoint, then

$$a \perp_R e \Leftrightarrow V(a) = -V(a) \Leftrightarrow \pm\|a\| \in \sigma(a).$$

PROPOSITION (LJ. ARAMBAŠIĆ, T. BERIĆ, R. R.)

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra with the unit e , and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If $a \perp_R e$, then $V(a) = -V(a)$.

Let a be a **normal** element of \mathcal{A} . Then

$$\|a\| = w(a) = \max\{|z| : z \in V(a)\}.$$

Since $a + \lambda e$ is normal for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$a \perp_R e \Leftrightarrow w(a + \lambda e) = w(a - \lambda e), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

PROPOSITION

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra with the unit e . If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is normal, then

$$a \perp_R e \Leftrightarrow V(a) = -V(a).$$

In general, $V(a) = -V(a)$ does not imply $a \perp_R e$.

EXAMPLE (M.-T. CHIEN, B. S. TAM)

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{M}_4(\mathbb{C}) = B(\mathbb{C}^4)$. Then

$$V(A) = W(A) = \{(Ax, x) : x \in \mathbb{C}^4, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

The numerical range $W(A)$ of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a circular disk centered at the origin, so $W(A) = -W(A)$.
 $2.6918 = \|A + I\| \neq \|A - I\| = 2.7578$, so A is not Roberts
 orthogonal to the identity operator I .

Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. There is $\varphi \in S(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a + \lambda e\|^2 &= \|(a + \lambda e)^*(a + \lambda e)\| \\
 &= w((a + \lambda e)^*(a + \lambda e)) \\
 &= \varphi((a + \lambda e)^*(a + \lambda e)) \\
 &= \varphi(a^*a) + 2\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda}\varphi(a)) + |\lambda|^2 \\
 &\geq \psi(a^*a) + 2\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda}\psi(a)) + |\lambda|^2 \\
 &= \psi((a + \lambda e)^*(a + \lambda e)), \quad \forall \psi \in S(\mathcal{A}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $\mu := \varphi(a)$. Then

$$\varphi(a^*a) \geq \psi(a^*a) \text{ for every } \psi \in S(\mathcal{A}) \text{ such that } \psi(a) = \mu.$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi(a^*a) = \max \mathcal{L}_\mu(a),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu(a) = \{\psi(a^*a) : \psi \in S(\mathcal{A}), \psi(a) = \mu\}.$$

The **Davis–Wielandt shell** of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined as the set

$$DV(a) = \{(\varphi(a), \varphi(a^*a)) : \varphi \in S(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

Since $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a weak*-compact and convex subset of \mathcal{A}' , and the map $\varphi \mapsto (\varphi(a), \varphi(a^*a))$ is weak*-continuous on \mathcal{A}' , we conclude that $DV(a)$ is a compact convex subset of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$.

The **upper boundary** of $DV(a)$ is the set

$$DV_{ub}(a) = \{(\mu, r) \in DV(a) : r = \max \mathcal{L}_\mu(a)\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu(a) = \{\varphi(a^*a) : \varphi \in S(\mathcal{A}), \varphi(a) = \mu\}.$$

Note that $(\varphi(a), \varphi(a^*a)) \in DV_{ub}(a)$ if

$$\|a + \lambda e\|^2 = \varphi((a + \lambda e)^*(a + \lambda e)) \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

THEOREM (LJ. ARAMBAŠIĆ, T. BERIĆ, R. R.)

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra with the unit e . For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the following conditions are mutually equivalent:

- (I) $a \perp_R e$,
- (II) $DV_{ub}(a) = DV_{ub}(-a)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = B(H)$. The **Davis–Wielandt shell** of $A \in B(H)$ is defined as the set

$$DW(A) = \{((Ax, x), (A^*Ax, x)) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Since $A = \operatorname{Re} A + i \operatorname{Im} A$, identifying $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ with \mathbb{R}^3 , we have

$$DW(A) = \{((\operatorname{Re} A)x, x), ((\operatorname{Im} A)x, x), (A^*Ax, x)) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\},$$

which is a *joint numerical range* of self-adjoint operators $\operatorname{Re} A$, $\operatorname{Im} A$ and A^*A .

◇ $DW(A)$ is compact if $\dim H < \infty$.

◇ $DW(A)$ is convex if $\dim H \geq 3$.

◇ $DV(A) = \overline{\operatorname{conv}(DW(A))}$.

Therefore

$$DV(A) = \overline{DW(A)} \quad \text{if } H \text{ is infinite-dimensional,}$$

$$DV(A) = DW(A) \quad \text{if } 3 \leq \dim H < \infty.$$

If H is infinite-dimensional, then

$$DV_{ub}(A) = \{(\mu, r) \in \overline{DW(A)} : r = \max \mathcal{L}_\mu(A)\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu(A) = \{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^* A x_n, x_n) : x_n \in H, \|x_n\| = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A x_n, x_n) = \mu \}.$$

If $3 \leq \dim H < \infty$, then

$$DV_{ub}(A) = \{(\mu, r) \in DW(A) : r = \max \mathcal{L}_\mu(A)\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu(A) = \{(A^* A x, x) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1, (A x, x) = \mu\}.$$

THEOREM

Let $A \in B(H)$, $\dim H \geq 3$. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:

- (I) $A \perp_R I$,
- (II) $DV_{ub}(A) = DV_{ub}(-A)$.

Let $A \in B(H)$, $\dim H = 2$.

Let α and β be eigenvalues of A . The numerical range $W(A)$ is an elliptical disc (possibly degenerate) centered at $\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(A)$ with foci α and β .

The Davis–Wielandt shell $DW(A)$ is an ellipsoid without the interior centered at $\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{2}, \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A^*A)}{2}\right)$.

Assume that $W(A) = -W(A)$. Then







$$W(A + \lambda I) = W(-A + \lambda I), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$







It follows that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a unitary $U_\lambda \in B(H)$ such that $A + \lambda I = U_\lambda^*(-A + \lambda I)U_\lambda$, so $\|A + \lambda I\| = \|A - \lambda I\|$, that is, $A \perp_R I$.

THEOREM

Let $A \in B(H)$, $\dim H = 2$. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:

- (I) $A \perp_R I$,
- (II) $W(A) = -W(A)$,
- (III) $\text{tr}(A) = 0$.

-  Lj. Arambašić, R. Rajić, *The Birkhoff–James orthogonality in Hilbert C^* -modules*, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012), 1913–1929.
-  Lj. Arambašić, R. Rajić, *On three concepts of orthogonality in Hilbert C^* -modules*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (7) (2015), 1485–1500.
-  Y.H. Au-Yeung, N.-K. Tsing, *An extension of the Hausdorff–Toeplitz theorem on numerical range*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (2) (1983), 215–218.
-  R. Bhatia, P. Šemrl, *Orthogonality of matrices and some distance problems*, Linear Algebra Appl. 287 (1999), 77–85.
-  G. Birkhoff, *Orthogonality in linear metric spaces*, Duke Math. J. 1 (1935), 169–172.
-  M.-T. Chien, B.-S. Tam, *Circularity of the numerical range*, Linear Algebra Appl. 201 (1994), 113–133.

-  R.C. James, *Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1947), 265–292.
-  C.-K. Li, *A simple proof of the elliptical range theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (7) (1996), 1985–1986.
-  C.-K. Li, Y.-T. Poon, N.-S. Zse, *Davis–Wielandt shell of operators*, Oper. Matrices 2 (3) (2008), 341–355.
-  B.D. Roberts, *On the geometry of abstract vector spaces*, Tôhoku Math. J. 39 (1934), 42–59.
-  J.G. Stampfly, J.P. Williams, *Growth conditions and the numerical range in a Banach algebra*, Tôhoku Math. J. 20 (1968), 417–424.
-  J.P. Williams, *Finite operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 129–136.